

CHARLES PARKIN, City Attorney  
HOWARD D. RUSSELL, Deputy City Attorney  
State Bar No. 163595

333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, California 90802-4664  
Telephone: (562) 570-2200  
Facsimile: (562) 436-1579

Email: [howard.russell@longbeach.gov](mailto:howard.russell@longbeach.gov)

Attorneys for Defendants  
JULIE LACEY (ACKERMAN)  
TOMAS DIAZ  
HARRISON MOORE  
ALEJANDRO CAZARES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAY WEBB,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OFFICER J. ACKERMAN, OFFICER A.  
CAZAREZ, OFFICER T. DIAZ,  
OFFICER H. MOORE,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV13-09112 PLA

Honorable Paul L. Abrams, Magistrate  
Courtroom G. 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, 312 North  
Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

**DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE  
NUMBER ONE TO EXCLUDE  
EVIDENCE OF PLAINTIFF'S  
CRIMINAL HISTORY**

Hearing date: February 15, 2017  
Hearing time: 9:30 a.m.

**I.**

**INTRODUCTION**

On October 30, 2011, the defendant officers encountered plaintiff during and following a traffic stop. Officers found cocaine during a search of plaintiff's vehicle

1 and force was used during the encounter. Plaintiff was arrested and taken into  
2 custody.

3 That date was not the first, or last, time that plaintiff has been in police  
4 custody.

## 5 II.

### 6 ARGUMENT

#### 7 A. A WITNESS MAY BE IMPEACHED BY EVIDENCE OF 8 CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS

9 Once a witness testifies, their character for truthfulness is at issue, and they  
10 may be impeached. FRE 607.

11 A witness may specifically be impeached by evidence of certain criminal  
12 convictions. FRE 609.

13 If plaintiff has convictions that fall within FRE 609, defendants should be  
14 allowed to offer that evidence.

#### 15 B. THE EVIDENCE IS RELEVANT AND ADMISSIBLE UNDER 16 F.R.E. 402 AND THE PROBATIVE VALUE OUTWEIGHS ANY DANGER 17 OF UNFAIR PREJUDICE

18 The evidence of convictions, or even arrests, is also relevant and the probative  
19 value is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice under FRE  
20 403.

21 Plaintiff may try to persuade the jury that the cocaine in the vehicle was not  
22 his; the jury should be able to consider plaintiff's numerous narcotics-related arrests  
23 in assessing plaintiff's credibility.

24 Moreover, if the jury erroneously believes that plaintiff had never previously  
25 been subject to police authority, the jury may award more substantial damages than  
26

1 if the jury realizes that plaintiff had “experience” with the police.

2 And, any risk of “unfair” prejudice can be mitigated by the Court giving a  
3 limiting instruction.

4 **III.**

5 **CONCLUSION**

6 Based on the above, defendants respectfully request the Court to deny the  
7 motion in limine.

8 ///

9 DATED: December 30, 2016

10 CHARLES PARKIN, City Attorney

11  
12 By: /s/ HOWARD D. RUSSELL

13 HOWARD D. RUSSELL

14 Deputy City Attorney

15 Attorneys for Defendants

16 CITY OF LONG BEACH, et al.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28